

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/976,425 | ROGERS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Cephia D. Toomer | 1714 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(3) _____.

(2) Philip Lane.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2005

Time: 1:15 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7, 8, 10, 12 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Lane that the amendment to claims 7 and 12 constituted new matter. Mr. Lane agreed. The examiner informed Mr. Lane that the amendment to claims 10 and 16 would be entered. The examiner made the changes by examiner's amendment and also identified the status of claim 8.